UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA RENO, NEVADA

ANTHONY J. BURRIOLA,) 3:08-CV-00149-ECR-RAM
Plaintiff, vs. STATE OF NEVADA, et al., Defendants.	MINUTES OF THE COURT Date: June 21, 2010 Date: June 21, 2010
PRESENT: EDWARD C. REED, JR.	U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE
Deputy Clerk:COLLEEN LARSEN	Reporter:NONE APPEARING
Counsel for Plaintiff(s)	NONE APPEARING
Counsel for Defendant(s)	NONE APPEARING
MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS	
Plaintiff has filed a Motion for Reconsideration (#106) of our Order (#104), which we issued on June 4, 2010. The basis for the Motion (#106) is the circumstance that Plaintiff's Reply (#105) to Defendants' Response (#103) to Plaintiff's Objections (#102) to the Magistrate Judge's Order (#100) was not filed until after the Court had ruled (Order #104) on Plaintiff's Objections (#102).	
Under the local rules, reply points and authorities are due no later than eleven (11) days after service of the response. Local Rule 7-2(c). Thus, taking into account the additional three days provided by Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(d), Plaintiff's Reply (#105) was due no later than June 3, 2010. Plaintiff's Reply (#105) was not filed until June 10, 2010. As such, the Reply was not filed timely. Moreover, the arguments raised in the Reply	

 ${\tt IT\ IS,\ THEREFORE,\ HEREBY\ ORDERED}$ that the Motion for Reconsideration (#106) is ${\tt DENIED}$.

(#105) do not alter our analysis.

LANCE S. WILSON, CLERK

By /s/
Deputy Clerk